



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19.01.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Cr1.O.P.No.894 of 2022

1Thirunavukkarasu
2.Krishnamurthi

.. Petitioners

Vs.

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Kadampuliyur Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
(Crime No.660 of 2021)

...Respondent/complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.,
praying to enlarge the petitioners on Anticipatory Bail in the event
of their arrest in Cr.No.660 of 2021 on the file of the respondent
police.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.P.Sivakumar

For Respondent : Mr.N.S.Suganthan,
Government Advocate (Cr1.Side)

ORDER

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 8 and 12 of TN gambling Act & Section 353 and 506(i) of IPC in Crime No.660 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners along with others were involved in gambling, at that time, the respondent police was tried to arrest the petitioners and they threatened the respondent police. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submit that the petitioners are innocent person and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submits that the petitioners, on his own



volition, is ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs.10,000/- to any Charitable Purpose as may be directed by this Court and he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners by stating that the petitioners were involved in the gambling and they threatened the respondent police.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submissions made by the both counsel and also the fact that the petitioners have willfully and on his own volition agreed to contribute a sum of Rs.10,000/- for charitable purpose, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Panruti on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners are directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Three thousand only) to the Registered Advocate Clerks Association, Cuddalore, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below;

[b] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police on Saturday at 10.30 a.m for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for an interrogation;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



[f] on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions has been imposed and the petitioners are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

[g] if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC;

-sd/-
19/01/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.2,
PANRUTI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT (FOR INFORMATION).

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KADAMPULIYUR POLICE STATION,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

5 THE REGISTERED ADVOCATE CLERK ASSOCIATION,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.G.P.SIVAKUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.720

CRL OP.894/2022

Date :19/01/2022

INBA~25/01/2022