



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.01.2022

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CrI.O.P.No.502 of 2022

C.P.Radhakrishnan

...Petitioner

Versus

State represented by  
The Inspector of Police,  
B-1, Central Police Station,  
Ooty Town, Nilgiris District.  
(Crime No.370 of 2021)

...Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.370 of 2021 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Parthipan

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan  
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Section 489(B), 489(C) of IPC, in Crime No.370 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 20.11.2021 at about 10.30 when the defacto complainant/Village Administrative Officer was on raid at Udhaga Lower Bazar, he found that the 3<sup>rd</sup> accused gave counterfeit currency note of Rs.500/- to the Tasmac shop and the counterfeit currency notes in possession of the 3<sup>rd</sup> accused were seized under Mahazar and on enquiry, it was found that the 3<sup>rd</sup> accused received the same from the 2<sup>nd</sup> accused who is possession of 46 fake notes of Rs.500/- received from this petitioner. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that based on the confession of co-accused, the petitioner was falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that co-accused had already been released on bail. However, on instructions, the learned



counsel further submitted that the petitioner, on his own volition, is ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs.20,000/- to the Registered Advocate Clerk Association, Coimbatore. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and the investigation is almost completed.. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Udhagamandalam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) to the credit of the Registered Advocate Clerk Association, Coimbatore, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below.

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[c] the petitioner is directed to report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. .

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

20/01/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned  
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
UDHAGAMANDALAM

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
NILGIRIS (FOR INFORMATION)

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
B-1 CENTRAL POLICE STATION,  
OOTY TOWN, NILGIRIS DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

5 THE REGISTERED ADVOCATE CLERK  
ASSOCIATION, COIMBATORE

CC to M/S.G.ASHOK KUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.502/2022

Date :20/01/2022

RVR 31/01/2022