



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.01.2022

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CrI.OP.No.510 of 2022

V.Shakina Begum

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police (SP)
Superintendent of Police (SP) Office,
Chengalpattu District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
J-12, Kanathur Police Station,
Kanathur, Chengalpattu District.

3.Kalik Basha

4.Raheema Beevi

5.Allaudeen

...Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C to direct the 2nd respondent police to give adequate police protection to the petitioner for fencing the barbed wire around four corners of the petitioner land in Old S.F.No.44/1B, New S.F.No.44/1B5 Total extents 460 Sq.ft out of 0.04 Cents situated at No.15, Muttukadu Village, Tiruporur Taluk, Kanchipuram District now Chengalpattu District.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Nos.1 & 2 Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

ORDER

This criminal original petition has been filed to give adequate police protection to the petitioner for fencing the barbed wire around four corners of the petitioner land in Old S.F.No.44/1B, New S.F.No.44/1B5 Total extents 460 Sq.ft out of 0.04 Cents situated at No.15, Muttukadu Village, Tiruporur Taluk, Kanchipuram District now Chengalpattu District.



2. The petitioner owns a small property in Muttukadu Village and obtained patta No.1392. The petitioner along with the property documents and other documents applied for planning permission with the Muttukkadu Panchayat. The said officer of the Panchayat, on verification of the petitioner's allotment of land, Thasildar report and resolution passed by the Panchayat, granted planning and building permission to the petitioner. The petitioner in Na.Ka.No.10/2021/Uu.Say dated 06.07.2021, obtained a planning permission in put up a construction and when she wanted to earmark her property by putting fence the same was objected by the respondents viz., 3 to 5, which is illegal. The respondent police is not taking any action on the complaint of the petitioner, which has emboldened respondents 3 to 5 to further resist and not permit the petitioner to put up a construction.

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submits that the second respondent police, so far not received any complaint from the petitioner. If the petitioner appears before the second respondent police along with the copy of the complaint and necessary document, on verification of the same, the respondent police shall provide police protection.

4.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to appear before the respondent police on any given date.

5.In view of the same, the petitioner is directed to appear before the respondent police on 24.01.2022 along with copy of the complaint and thereafter, the respondent police is directed to enquire and proceed the matter in accordance with law.

6.With the above direction, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

sms

To

1.The Superintendent of Police (SP)
Superintendent of Police (SP) Office,
Chengalpattu District.



2.The Inspector of Police,
J-12, Kanathur Police Station,
Kanathur, Chengalpattu District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar, Advocate SR. No.2593

Crl.OP.No.510 of 2022

GJ (CO)
PR (21/01/2022)