



WEB COPY

A.No.2658 of 2013



A.No.2658 of 2013
in
O.P.No.529 of 2012

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN,J.,

The learned counsel for the petitioner is not present. However, heard the learned counsel for the respondent/petitioner in O.P.No.529 of 2012.

2. O.P.No.529 of 2012 had been filed seeking probate of a Will dated 10.06.1996 executed by Nagarathinam Ammal, who died on 20.06.1997.

3. In the petition it had been stated that the petitioner and the respondent are the only surviving class-II legal heirs of Nagarathinam Ammal. However the present application has been filed by a third party seeking to implead himself as the 12th respondent.

4. To determine as to whether he could be categorized as a person having caveatable interest, the learned counsel was requested to file genealogy table.

5. It is seen that the petitioner in Application No.2658/2012, G.Meenakshisundaram is actually a son of Ganesan. The other respondents



WEB COPY

A.No.2658 of 2013



C.V.KARTHIKEYAN,J.

ssi

are also class-II legal heirs. Naturally, the present applicant has to be impleaded as a further respondent in the Original Petition.

6. In view of the said relationship, the application stands allowed.

30.11.2022
(1/2)

ssi

A.No.2658 of 2013
in O.P.No.529 of 2012