



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.01.2022

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Cr1.O.P.No.410 of 2022

1.R.S.Ranga Bashyam
2.P.Loordumary

... Petitioners

Versus

The State rep by
Inspector of Police,
R-7, K.K.Nagar Police Station,
Chennai- 600 078.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.579 of 2021 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Thanjan


For Respondent : Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Section 420 of IPC in Crime No.579 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners had collected money from the defacto complainant to get house allotment from the Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board. Thereafter, the petitioners had not given the allotment order and abused the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners had given money to the first accused in order to get house allotment from the Tamil Nadu Slum



Clearance Board as on date they were not given any house allotment order and the petitioners have given a complaint before the respondent police on 30.01.2020 against the first accused.. He further submitted that the first accused had executed a undertaking to pay the money and also issued a cheque and the copy of the cheques were enclosed in the typed set of papers. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners had collected money from the defacto complainant to get house allotment from the Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board. Thereafter, the petitioners had not given the allotment order and abused the defacto complainant. He further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners and the investigation is almost completed. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the investigation is almost completed, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the XXIII Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Saidapet, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-
10/01/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE,
NO.XXIII, SAIDAPET.

2 THE CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE,
EGMORE, CHENNAI [FOR INFORMATION].

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
R-7 K.K.NAGAR POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI-600 078.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+2 CC to M/S.R.THANJAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.NO.503

CRL OP.410/2022

Date :10/01/2022

JPA 20/01/2022