



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Seventh day of January Two Thousand Twenty Two

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs Justice T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.196 of 2022

IN

S.C.(K).NO.48 of 2020

(on the File of Learned Sessions Judge, Mahila Court, Chengalpattu)

INARJEET MUKHIYA

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
WALAJABAD POLICE STATION,
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.1650/2020)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S A.SARANRAJ Advocate

For Respondent : MR.N.S.SUGANTHAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL 439 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 05.09.2020 for the offences under Sections Women Missing @ Section 341, 366, 376(2)(j) & (m), 376(A) and 302 of IPC, in Crime No.1650 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de facto complainant is working as a Driver and on 03.09.2020, morning at 11.00 Hrs, his mother-in-law went for grazing for sheep found missing. Initially the case was registered under missing women, subsequently during the course of investigation it is found that the victim was dead near Tamarind grove. Hence, the complaint.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is no way connected with the alleged offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case and that he has been suffering incarceration for more than one year from 05.09.2020 and hence, he would pray for grant of bail to the petitioner. 4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would raise strong objection stating that the petitioner raped the victim and murdered her. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would raise strong objection stating that the petitioner raped the victim and murdered her. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. On seeing the grave nature of offence committed by the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
07/01/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE SESSIONS JUDGE,
MAHILA COURT, CHENGALPATTU.

2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
WALAJABAD POLICE STATION,
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.

3 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, VELLORE.



4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S A.SARANRAJ Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.196/2022

Date :07/01/2022

CSK 20/01/2022