



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05.01.2022

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Crl.O.P.No.34 of 2022

P.Ravichandran

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep by its
The Inspector of Police,
Sooramangalam Police Station,
Salem District.
(Crime No.1006 of 2021)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.1006 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.W.Camyles Gandhi

For Respondent : Mr.N.S.Suganthan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)


O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 19.12.2021 for the offences punishable under Sections 273, 328, 511 IPC and Sec. 57, 59 of Food Safety and Standard Act, 2006 and Sec. 77 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 and Sec. 6, 24(1) of Cigarette and other Tobacco Products Act, 2003, in Crime No.1006 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found in illegal possession of 93 pockets of banned tobacco products. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner has been suffering incarceration from 19.12.2021. He would further submit that the petitioner on his own violation ready to deposit a substantial amount to any charitable institute as may be directed by this Court and would pray for grant of bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that the petitioner was found in illegal possession of 93 pocket of banned tobacco products. He further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner shall be directed to make a non refundable deposit of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only), to the credit of the Registered Advocate Clerks Association, Salem, without prejudice to his rights and contentions. The amount so deposited shall be utilised by the Association for the welfare of the Advocates.

6. It is made clear that the deposit of the amount by the petitioner to the said Association would not amount to admission of guilt. The trial Court shall deal with the case independently on merits without reference to the amount deposited at the stage of bail.

7. Considering the fact that the investigation is almost completed and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

(a) Accordingly, the Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing his own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only), before the Superintendent of the concerned prison, in which the Petitioner has been confined and thereafter on his release;

(b) the petitioner shall make non-refundable deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) through demand draft to the Registered Advocate Clerks Association, Salem, without prejudice to his defence before the trial Court and on such deposit, the petitioner shall execute two sureties for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), before the learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Salem, within 15 days from the date of commencement of the Court's normal functioning, failing which the bail granted by this Court shall stand dismissed automatically;

(c) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

(d) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Wednesday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

(e) the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature;



(f) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(h) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

(i) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

8. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-

05/01/2022

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.II, SALEM

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
SALEM(FOR INFORMATION)

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SOORAMANGALAM POLICE STATION,
SALEM DISTRICT.

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

5 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, SALEM



6 THE REGISTERED ADVOCATE
CLERKS ASSOCIATION, SALEM.

CC to M/S W.CAMYLES GANDHI Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.161

CRL OP.34/2022

Date :05/01/2022

RVR 06/01/2022