

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.8079 OF 2018

1) Dr. Anand s/o Sheshadri Kahalekar,
aged about 43 years, occupation :
Service, office of D.M.M. Ayurved
Mahavidyalaya, Shivaji Nagar,
Arni Road, Yavatmal, Tahsil and
District Yavatmal.

2) Umesh s/o Narayan Patil,
aged about 43 years, occupation :
Service, office of D.M.M. Ayurved
Mahavidyalaya, Shivaji Nagar,
Arni Road, Yavatmal.

... Petitioners

- Versus -

1) State of Maharashtra, through
Deputy Secretary, Medical Education
and Drugs Department, Mantralaya,
Mumbai – 32.

2) Director, Ayurved, Ayush
Directorate, State of Maharashtra,
4th floor, G.T. Hospital,
Campus, P.D. Demelo Fort,
Mumbai.

3) Assistant Director, Ayurved,
Administrative Building No.1,
First floor, Civil Lines, Nagpur.

4) Maharashtra University of Health
Sciences, Nasik, Vani-Dindhori Road,
Mhasrul, Nashik-422004, through
its Registrar.

- 5) D.M.M. Ayurved Mahavidyalaya,
Shivaji Nagar, Arni Road,
Yavatmal, Tahsil and District
Yavatmal, through its Principal. ... Respondents

Shri I.G. Meshram, Advocate for petitioners.

Shri N.S. Rao, Assistant Government Pleader for respondent nos.1 to 3.

Shri V.B. Bhise, Advocate for respondent no.5

CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
G.A. SANAP, JJ.

DATED : SEPTEMBER 19, 2022

ORAL JUDGMENT (PER SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.) :

Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent of Shri Meshram, learned Counsel for the petitioners, Shri Rao, learned Assistant Government Pleader for the respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri Bhise, learned Counsel for the respondent no.5.

2) The issue involved in this petition is identical to one involved in Writ Petition No.3200/2018, which has been disposed of today. As such, this petition deserves to be disposed of on similar lines as Writ Petition No.3200/2018.

3) We accordingly direct the respondent no.2 to consider afresh the case of the petitioner nos.1 and 2 regarding grant of

benefit of academic grade pay of Rs.10,000/- to them with effect from 6/4/2014 and 1/4/2013 respectively in the light of the directions contained in the communication dated 26/7/2019 issued by the respondent no.1 to respondent no.2, by ignoring the earlier rejection of the same request made by the petitioners. The decision shall be taken within four weeks from the date of receipt of this judgment.

4) Rule is made absolute in the above terms. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

khj