

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.336 OF 2016

(State of Maharashtra thr. its Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department, Mumbai and
others Vs. Smt. Vimal Parasram Thakre)

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.*

Court's or Judge's orders.

None for Petitioners.

CORAM: ROHIT B. DEO, J.

DATE: 28th MARCH, 2022.

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

2. The order dated 16.03.2022 reads thus:

The office note is that fresh notice issued to the sole respondent is received back with the bailiff report that noticee is not found on the given address.

2. Stand over to 21.3.2022 to enable the learned counsel Mr. Prashant Gode to seek instructions and inform the Court whether the order impugned is complied with. It appears that interim relief is specifically rejected vide order dated 23.1.2017.

3. The subsequent order dated 21.03.2022 reads thus:

Stand over to 28.03.2022, since the learned Counsel for the petitioner Mr. Gode has not yet received the instructions on the status of the compliance.

4. The office note is that the petitioner has not taken any steps regarding service of notice on respondent sole.

5. The petition is dismissed for want of prosecution, with costs of Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) to be deposited with the High Court Legal Services Sub Committee, Nagpur.

6. If any application for restoration is preferred, the registry shall ensure that the direction to pay costs is duly complied with.

JUDGE

NSN