

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO.814 OF 2021

Arvind Mahadeo Jadhav

Versus

State of Maharashtra, through P.S.O., P.S. Barshitakli, Tq. Barshitakli, Dist. Akola

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri S.V. Sirpurkar, Advocate for the applicant.

Shri S.A. Ashirgade, A.P.P. for the non-applicant/State.

CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR, J.

DATED : 02/03/2022

1. On the allegation of trespass and assault to the complainant, the crime was registered against the applicant.
2. The applicant was granted *ad-interim* anticipatory bail vide order dated 01.12.2021 and as one of the conditions of the same, the applicant had attended the concerned Police Station on every Sunday and there is no complaint about misuse of liberty by the applicant or commission of similar offence when he was on bail.
3. On perusal of the case diary, it is revealed that during the investigation, the Investigating Officer has recorded the statements of witnesses, showing the involvement of the applicant.

4. However, nothing has been pointed out why the custody of the applicant is necessary. In that view of the matter, I am of the opinion that order dated 01.12.2021, needs to be confirmed.

5. The application is **allowed**.

6. *Ad-interim* anticipatory bail granted by this Court vide order dated 01.12.2021, is confirmed.

7. The applicant shall attend the concerned Police Station as and when his presence is required.

The criminal application is **disposed of**, accordingly.

[ANIL S. KILOR, J.]

CHETAN
LOPCHAND
DHAKATE

Digitally
signed by
CHETAN
LOPCHAND
DHAKATE
Date:
2022.03.02
17:26:38
+0530