

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

WRIT PETITION NO.6008 OF 2022

1. **Amol S/o. Purushottam Patil,**
Aged 45 Yrs., Occu.: Business,
2. **Abhilash S/o. Purushottam Patil,**
Aged 40 yrs. Occu.: Business,
3. **Vaishali D/o. Purushottam Patil,**
Aged 38 yrs. Occu.: Household,
4. **Rohini D/o. Purushottam Patil,**
Aged 35 yrs. Occu.: Household,

Petitioner Nos. 3 & 4 through
their POA Petitioner No. 5

5. **Suman Wd/o. Purushottam Patil,**
Aged 65 yrs. Occu.: Household,

All the petitioners are resident of
L-91, Behind Chokhamela Hostel,
Vasant Nagar, Nagpur

.... **PETITIONERS**

// **VERSUS** //

1. **Smt. Rasul B. Abdul Rafiq,**
Aged 57 Yrs., Occu.: Household,
R/o. Plot No. 52-A, Sartaj Colony,
Ashirvad Colony, Tajbagh, Nagpur
2. **Ashok Harischandra Patil,**
Aged Major, Occu.: not known,
3. **Smt. Suman Harischandra Patil,**
Aged Major, Occu.: not known,
4. **Smt. Alka Harischandra Patil,**
Aged Major, Occu.: not known,
5. **Smt. Rekha Harischandra Patil,**
Aged Major, Occu.: not known,

6. **Smt Sushila Harischandra Patil,**
Aged Major, Occu.: not known,

7. **Smt Vithabai Harischandra Patil,**
Aged Major, Occu.: not known,

Respondent Nos. 2 to 7 are residents of
Mhada Complex, Near Bhole
Petrol Pump, Amraoti Road, Nagpur.

8. **Tahsildar Nagpur (Gramin), Nagpur**

9. **Revenue Inspector,**
Mouza Besa, P.H. No. 39,
Khasra No.39/1, 39/2 & 39/3,
Tahsil Office, Nagpur (Rural),
Nagpur.

10. **Talathi,**
Mouza Besa, P.H. No. 39,
Khasra No. 39/1, 39/2 & 39/3,
Tahsil Office, Nagpur (Rural),
Nagpur.

11. **Sub Divisional Officer Nagpur (Rural),**
Nagpur

.... **RESPONDENTS**

Mr P. V. Vaidya, Advocate for petitioners
Mr A. M. Deshpande, GP for respondent Nos.8, 9 and 11

CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
G. A. SANAP, JJ.
DATED : 27.09.2022

ORAL JUDGMENT : (PER : SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.)

1] Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned GP for respondent Nos. 8, 9 and 11, who appears by waiving notice. There is no need to issue any notice to the remaining respondents, there being no relief claimed against remaining respondents.

2] Heard. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties.

3] Respondent No. 11 is directed to decide the application filed by the petitioners dated 23.08.2022 and also the application dated 20.09.2022 in accordance with law, at the earliest, preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of the order, if not decided till date.

4] Rule is made absolute in the above terms.

(G. A. SANAP, J.)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.)

Namrata