

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.5840/2022

Mr. Lalit S/o Yashwantrao Raut,
aged 48 Yrs., Occ. Service,
R/o Plot No.10, Vidarbha Nagar
Vikas Society, Somalwada, Nagpur.

... Petitioner

- Versus -

1. State of Maharashtra,
through its Secretary Urban Development
Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. Nagpur Improvement Trust,
Sadar, Nagpur, through its Chairman.
3. The General Manager,
Nagpur Improvement Trust,
Sadar, Nagpur.
4. The Executive Officer,
Nagpur Improvement Trust,
Sadar, Nagpur.
5. The Establishment Officer,
Nagpur Improvement Trust,
Sadar, Nagpur.

... Respondents

Mr. Akshay A. Naik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. N.P. Mehta, Assistant Government Pleader for
respondent No.1.
Mr. G.A. Kunte, Advocate for respondent No5.

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE AND
G.A. SANAP, JJ.
DATE : 21.9.2022**

ORAL JUDGMENT (Per Sunil B. Shukre, J.)

Heard Mr. Akshay A. Naik, learned counsel for the petitioner, Ms. N.P. Mehta, learned Assistant Government Pleader for respondent No.1 and Mr. G.A. Kunte, learned counsel for respondent No.5, both of whom appear by waiving notice.

2. Heard. **Rule.** Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally consent of learned counsel for the parties.

3. Considering the alternate prayer made in this petition, this petition is taken up for final disposal forthwith.

4. We find that the representation / objection of the petitioner regarding preparation of seniority list which he states to be incorrect is pending since November 2021 before the Departmental Promotion Committee (for short "D.P.C.") and as

informed by the learned counsel for the petitioner meeting of the D.P.C. is to be held on 27.9.2022. Considering the long pendency of the representation / objection of the petitioner before D.P.C. it would be in the fitness of things that the representation / objection of the petitioner is decided expeditiously by putting the same on fast track by respondent No.2.

5. We, therefore, direct respondent No.2 to decide the representation / objection of the petitioner dated 10.11.2021, which is stated to be reiterated in representation dated 27.7.2022 at the earliest and in any case, latest by 26.9.2022 before the meeting of D.P.C. is held, in accordance with law.

6. Rule is made absolute in the above terms. No costs.

7. Steno copy of the judgment be furnished to the learned counsel for the parties.

(G.A. SANAP, J.)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.)

Tambaskar.

Signed By: NILESH VILASRAO
TAMBASKAR

Private Secretary
Date: 21.09.2022 18:52