

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1121 OF 2021

Suryawanshi S/o. Awadhkishore Chaudhari and Anr.

.vs.

State of Maharashtra, through PSO, PS Ram Nagar, Dist Wardha

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

Shri S. V. Sohoni, counsel for the applicant
Mrs M. A. Barabde APP for the State

CORAM : V. M. DESHPANDE AND G. A. SANAP, JJ.
DATED : JANUARY 03, 2022

Heard Shri S. V. Sohoni, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt M. A. Barabde, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State. Perused the reply filed on behalf of the State authority and also perused the relevant portion in the charge-sheet, which is sought to be quashed in the inherent jurisdiction of this Court. After having gone through the charge-sheet, we are of the view that this is not a case wherein the Court should exercise its inherent jurisdiction to quash the charge-sheet especially, when *prima facie* material is there against the present applicant.

In that view of the matter, we reject the application.

Insofar as the submission made by the learned

counsel for the applicant that he is in possession of the property, the disputed question of fact surely cannot be gone into, in the jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of this Court.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Namrata