

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR**

Criminal Application (BA) No. 689 of 2022

Sameer Sudhir Joshi

Versus

State of Maharashtra, through Police Station Officer, Ramdaspath, Akola
Ta. And District Akola

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri S.V.Sirpurkar, Advocate for the applicant.

Shri V.A. Thakare, APP for the State / Non-applicant

CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR, J.

DATED : 27th JULY, 2022.

The applicant is seeking bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in Crime No. 611 of 2017 registered with Police Station Khadan, Akola for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 468, 471, 406, 407, 408, 409, 109, 120-B read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code.

2. The applicant, has also been arraigned in a multitude of cases namely Crime No. 348/2013, registered with Ranapratap Police Station, Nagpur, Crime No. 219/2013 registered with Khadan Police

Station, Akola for the similar offences and so also in Crime No. 305/2013 registered with Rajapeth Police Station Amravati, Crime No.337/2013 registered with Dattawadi Police Station, Pune & Crime No.82/2015 registered with Awadhutwadi Police Station, Yavatmal, and has been granted bail in those cases.

3. Mr. Sirpurkar, learned counsel for the applicant also places reliance upon the order in Criminal Application (BA) No. 399/2020 and Criminal Application (BA) No.1334/2020, in which by an order dated 24.09.2021 for similar offences in Crime Nos. 348/2013 and 219/2013, the applicant has been released on bail, considering that, the trial in those cases, was not progressing and though 56 witnesses were examined and 48 witnesses are still remained to be examined and the applicant had already undergone detention at a pre-trial stage for a period of more than eight years. The order of the Hon'ble Apex Court, in Vinay Jaidev Wasankar Vs. State of Maharashtra in SLP No. 4057/2021 dated 23.09.2021, has also been relied upon.

4. It is submitted that the trial in connection with this crime, is not yet commenced.

5. On the other hand Shri Thakre, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the non-applicant/State, opposes the application and submits, that the applicant is accused of serious financial offences. He therefore submits, that the applicant should not be enlarged on bail.

6. It is not in dispute, that the applicant who has been arrested on 10.12.2013 in respect of the aforesaid offences has been behind bars since then. Multiple cases, have been registered against the applicant at various Police Stations for similar offences. The applicant has been granted bail in almost all other matters. It is not disputed, that the applicant has already undergone pre-trial detention of more than eight years which is a substantive sentence. Thus, considering what has been held in National Investigation Agency Vs. Areeb Ejaz Majeed, (2021 SCC Online Bom. 239, regarding the right of an accused to speedy trial and also what has been stated in the order dated 24.09.2021 in Criminal Application (BA) No. 399/2020 and Criminal Application (BA) No.1334/2020, relying upon the order of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Vinay Jaidev Wasankar (Supra), in view of the fact that the

applicant, has already undergone a pre-trial detention of more than eight years and any substantial progress in the trial does not appear to be at hand or for that fact in the near future too, the further detention of the applicant does not appear to be warranted in law, in view of which, a case for grant of bail is made out. Hence the following order.

- i. The Criminal Application is allowed.
- ii. Applicant Sameer Sudhir Joshi, be released on bail in Crime No. 611 of 2017 registered at Police Station Khadan, Akola for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 468, 471, 406, 407, 408, 409, 109, 120-B read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, on his furnishing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs. 2,00,000/- (Rs. Two Lakhs Only) with two solvent sureties of the like amount.
- iii. The Applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, as also shall not tamper with the evidence.
- iv. The Applicant shall not abscond and provide his residential address and cell number to concerned Investigating Officer and shall not change his place of

residence without prior intimation to the concerned Investigating Officer.

v. The Applicant shall surrender his passport to the Investigating Officer within one week of his release and shall not leave the Country without prior permission of the trial Court.

vi. The Applicant shall attend each and every date of hearing of the proceedings before the concerned Court and shall ensure that the trial is not prolonged or protracted on his count.

vii. Breach of any of the above conditions, would give liberty to the prosecution to move the Court for cancellation of bail.

viii. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and to decide the same within a period of one year from the date of production of the order of this Court before it, which the learned APP is directed to do within a week from today.

[ANIL S. KILOR, J.]

Digitally signed
by
SACHINDANAND
K NAIR
Date: 2022.08.01
18:49:52 +0530