

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION 3385 OF 2022

(Murtaza Khan s/o. Ayyubkhan Khan..vs.. The Director (Operation) Mah. State
Electricity Distribution Company Mumbai and anr)

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.*

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. K.S. Narwade, counsel for petitioner.
Mr. A.D. Mohagaonkar, counsel for respondents 1&2.

CORAM: ROHIT B. DEO AND ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.

DATE: 17.08.2022

The office note shows that notice to respondent 1 is
awaited.

2. However, the learned counsel Mr. A.D. Mohagaonkar
who has filed appearance on behalf of respondent 2 waives
notice for respondent 1.

3. The limited grievance of the petitioner is that his first
appeal and second appeal challenging the order of punishment
were only partly allowed and since he is aggrieved to certain
extent, he preferred review before the respondent 1 under
Regulation 92(j) of the Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution
Company Limited Employees Service Regulations, 2005 which is
not decided.

4. Mr. A.D. Mohagaonkar would submit that while the respondent 1 shall decide the review, all questions including the maintainability of review, be left open for the respondent 1 to decide.

5. Respondent 1 shall decide the review preferred by the petitioner within the next ninety days.

6. It is clarified that all contentions, including the maintainability of review are left open for respondent 1 to decide.

7. Writ Petition s disposed of.

(ANIL L. PANSARE, J.)

(ROHIT B. DEO, J.)

Belkhede