

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1869 OF 2018

Rajnikant S/o. Daluram Borele

-- Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and Another

-- Respondents

Ms Parita Lakhani, Advocate h/for Mr. M. P. Kariya, Advocate for
Petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh A. Chaudhari, Advocate for Respondents.

CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.

DATE : 25 FEBRUARY 2022

P. C. :

Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

2. The Petitioner is aggrieved by the action of the
Respondents in not issuing renewed Passport in his favour.

3. Shri Chaudhari, learned Counsel for the Respondents
points out that Section 11 of the Passport Act, 1967 provides for an
appeal in respect the orders of the Passport Authority on the
applications for passport.

4. Since the remedy of appeal is available to the Petitioner, it is not necessary to entertain the Writ Petition. Averments made in the reply of the Respondents shall be considered as *prima facie* opinion. If any issue of delay arises, the Appellate Authority will take into consideration that the notice was issued in this petition on 24 August 2018 and time was taken on behalf of the Respondents.

5. The Writ Petition is disposed of as above.

[ANIL L. PANSARE, J.]

[NITIN JAMDAR, J.]