

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.765 OF 2022

(Taheseembaig Salimbaig Mirza Vs. State of Maharashtra thr. its Secretary, Revenue
& Forest Department, Mumbai and others)

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.*

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. A. R. Ingole, Advocate for Petitioner.
Ms. T. H. Khan, AGP for Respondents 1 to 5/State.

CORAM: ROHIT B. DEO, J.

DATE: 29th MARCH, 2022.

A bunch of petition is decided by this Court
(Coram: Avinash G. Gharote, J.) by judgment dated
04.12.2021.

2. One of the petition is Writ Petition 4534/2021
which was filed by the present petitioner challenging the
very order of the Tahsildar which is also impugned herein.

3. This Court recorded the statement of the learned
counsel that all the writ petitions are identical and will be
covered by judgment in Writ Petition 4472/2021. In view of
judgment rendered in Writ Petition 4472/2021 all the
petitions were dismissed.

4. It would not be permissible for this Court to
consider the challenge to the order of the Tahsildar, which
was also the subject-matter of Writ Petition 4534/2021

which is dismissed. The learned counsel Mr. Ingole submits that the facts in Writ Petition 4534/2021 are not identical with Writ Petition 4472/2021 in as much as the petitioner did possess valid transit pass.

5. I am afraid, unless the petitioner seeks review, and such review is allowed, it would not be possible for me to consider the challenge to the order of the Tahsildar on merits, since the earlier petition is dismissed.

6. With liberty to the petitioner to take appropriate steps, the petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

NSN