

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO.67 OF 2019

(Satyavijay s/o Digambar Jamnik Vs. State of Maharashtra thr. PSO Ps Daryapur,
Tah. Daryapur, Dist. Amravati and another)

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.*

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. D. N. Mudgade, Advocate for Applicant.
Mr. M. J. Khan, APP for Respondent 1/State.
Mr. P. R. Agrawal, Advocate for Respondent 2.

CORAM: ROHIT B. DEO AND ANIL L. PANSARE, JJ.

DATE: 6th OCTOBER, 2022.

We have perused the material on record.

2. Considering that the challenge is to the charge-sheet which is filed way back on 28.05.2018, and the trial is fixed for recording evidence, we see no reason to interfere in exercise of jurisdiction under section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

3. However, considering that the applicant is a government servant and is working with the Naval Dockyard at Mumbai, and the attending circumstances including the *inter se* relationship, we deem it expedient to direct an early trial.

4. We request the learned trial Judge to conclude the trial, as far as possible, within the next six months.

(ANIL L. PANSARE, J.)

(ROHIT B. DEO, J.)

NSN