

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, AT NAGPUR.**

Writ Petition No. 5456 of 2014

[Shri Deorao S/o Ganba Mankar (since dead) through his legal heirs Yogeshwar D. Mankar and ors.
..vs.. Shri Doma S/o Chaitu Thakre (since dead) through his LRs Smt. Vithabai D. Thakre and ors.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. D. T. Shinde, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. U. M. Aurangabadkar, Advocate for respondent 1
Mr. N. R. Rode, AGP for respondents 3 and 4

CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO, J.

DATED : 18-4-2022

Notice issued to respondent 2 on merits was required to be served by paper publication.

2. However, notice issued on Civil Application (CAW) 191/2022 is received back unserved with the report that respondent 2 is residing abroad.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for respondent 1 state that respondent 2 is not the contesting party.

4. Service of notice on respondent 2 is, therefore, dispensed with.

Civil Application (CAW) 191/2022

5. According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, amendment is required in view of the events which have occurred during the pendency of the petition.

6. While learned counsel for respondent 1, Mr. Aurangabadkar objects, considering that subsequent events are proposed to be incorporated, civil application is allowed.

7. Amendment be carried out within a week.

8. Copy of the amended petition be supplied to the learned counsel for respondent 1 and the learned Assistant Government Pleader.

9. The respondents are at liberty to file additional / further affidavits in response.

JUDGE

wasnik