

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, AT NAGPUR.**

Writ Petition No. 1038 of 2020

[Sau. Manisha Narendra Narad ..vs.. Shri Vasant Ganpat Talmale and ors.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. K. R. Lule, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. S. K. Bhoyar, Advocate for respondent 1

CORAM : ROHIT B. DEO, J.

DATED : 7-3-2022

The limited grievance of the petitioner, who is the original plaintiff in Regular Civil Suit 189/2015 is that during the cross-examination of the plaintiff, a document purporting to be will was shown to the plaintiff, and the trial Court marked the said document as tentative exhibit.

2. Both the learned counsel agree that the document ought to have been marked as article for identification. The order marking the said document as “tentative exhibit” is set aside. The trial Court shall mark the said document as “article for identification”.

3. The trial Court shall expedite the hearing of the suit.

4. The petition is disposed of.

JUDGE