

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 85 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION 2540 OF 2013**

Madhav Chandrakant Bhalerao

....Petitioner

V/S

Ajay Mehta, The Commissioner Greater Bombay
Municipal Corpn. & Ors.

....Respondents

Adv. Yogesh Dabke for Petitioner

Mr. S. B. Gore, AGP for the respondent no 4-State

Ms. R. M. Hajare i/b. Adv. Sunil Sonawane for the respondent nos.
1 to 3-MCGM.

**CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE &
SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, JJ**

DATED : 21st DECEMBER, 2022

P.C.:

1. Perused the pleadings in the Contempt Petition and reliefs claimed.
2. In compliance with the directions issued by this Court, the respondent-Corporation has already taken the decision thereby releasing the pension in favour of the petitioner. As such, we are of the view that the order is substantially complied with.
3. Apart from above, against the decision of the Corporation extending pensionary benefits to the petitioner, a fresh proceedings has already been initiated and pending consideration.

4. That being so, contempt petition no more survives and stands disposed of.

ANANT
KRISHNA
NAIK

Digitally signed
by ANANT
KRISHNA NAIK
Date:
2022.12.22
11:32:50 +0530

(SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, J) (NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)