

Trupti

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 5066 OF 2022**

Sahil Sajid Khan

... Petitioner

vs.

Union of India, (Legal Department)
Government of India, Mumbai & Ors.

... Respondents

.....

Mr.Raghavan Sarathy i/b. Thodur Law Associates for the
Petitioner.

Mr. R.L. Motwani for Respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

Mr. Raj Dani for Respondent No.5.

.....

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
GAURI GODSE, JJ.**

DATE : 15 DECEMBER 2022

P.C.:

Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.

2. The Petitioner has sought to challenge a notice issued under section 13 (2) of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002. The Petitioner has a remedy of approaching the Debt Recovery Tribunal and in view of the settled legal position regarding enforcing a writ jurisdiction, we are not inclined to entertain the writ petition.

3. The learned Counsel for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner is a disabled person.

4. We have no doubt that if this is the position, the Debt Recovery Tribunal would consider giving a priority to early hearing of the proceedings when they are instituted before the Debt Recovery Tribunal.

5. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(GAURI GODSE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)