

KANCHAN
VINOD
MAYEKAR

Digitally signed
by KANCHAN
VINOD
MAYEKAR
Date:
2022.06.18
16:29:35
+0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2275 OF 2021

Nikita Associates

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

**The Collector of Mumbai City
& Anr.**

..... Respondents

Mr. Haridas Rajendra Madhukar for the Petitioner.

Mr. Manish Upadhye, A.G.P. for the State.

**CORAM: R. D. DHANUKA AND
MADHAV J. JAMDAR, JJ.
DATE : 17th JUNE, 2022**

P.C:-

Rule. Learned A.G.P. waives service for the Respondent State.

Rule made returnable forthwith.

2. By this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks writ of mandamus directing the respondent no.1 to decide the renewal of the lease hold rights.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner tenders a copy of the Roznama and would submit that the petitioner has been already rendered

personal hearing by the respondent no.1 on the said application for renewal of leasehold rights, however, has not passed any order. A copy of the Roznama is taken on record.

4. The respondent no.1 is directed to pass an appropriate order on the said application for renewal within four weeks from today. The order that would be passed by the respondent no.1 shall be communicated to the petitioner within one week from the date of passing such order. If the said application for renewal is allowed, the consequential relief shall be granted in favour of the petitioner and if the same is rejected, the petitioner would be at liberty to file appropriate proceedings.

5. Writ petition is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Rule is made absolute. No order as to costs.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]

[R. D. DHANUKA, J.]