

Vidya Amin

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 265 OF 2022

Sharad Dnyanoba Kharade .. Petitioner
Vs.
M/s. Quantum Equipment Co. Pvt. Ltd. .. Respondent

None for the petitioner.
None for the respondent.

CORAM : G.S. KULKARNI, J.
DATE : JUNE 24, 2022.

P.C.:

1. None appears for the petitioner as well as for the respondent.
2. Having perused the averments made in the petition and more particularly the averments made in paragraph 7 of the petition, the mandate of the arbitral tribunal to conclude the arbitral proceedings stands extended for a further period of 10 months from today. There shall not be any further extension, as the arbitral proceedings had commenced from November, 2017 and it is already about 5 years. The extension without an objection to limitation needs to be granted considering the pandemic period and as per the orders passed by the Supreme Court in the proceedings of *Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No. (s) 3/2020* in the case of **Re: Cognizance for Extension of Limitation¹** and also followed by this Court in **ICATCH Communications India Ltd. vs. The Divisional Manager/Authorized Personnel & Anr. in Arbitration Petition (L) No. 381 of 2020**
3. The petition is allowed in the above terms. No costs.

[G.S. KULKARNI, J.]

¹ (2020) 19 SCC 10