

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.1712 OF 2013

The Commissioner of Income

Tax-25,

Pratyaksha Kar Bhavan,

Bandra-Kurla Complex

Bandra, Mumbai-400 051

... Appellant

Versus

Vipul A. Shah

301, Padma Priya Apt. Above PNB Bank

42A Presidency Hsg Society, JPVD

Scheme, Vile Parle (West),

Mumbai-400 049

PAN :ABAPS8115K

... Respondent

Mr. Arvind Pinto for the Appellant.

Mr. Atul K. Jasani for the Respondent.

**CORAM : DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR &
ABHAY AHUJA, JJ.**

DATE : 4 AUGUST 2022

P. C. :

. Learned Counsel appearing for the Appellant states that the tax effect in the present Appeal is below the limit stipulated in terms of Circular No.17 of 2019 dated 8 August 2019. It is stated that no instructions have been received from the Department to withdraw the present Appeal.

2 In the light of Circular No.17 of 2019, the Appeal is disposed of as involving low tax effect.

3 However, we observe that in case, the Revenue finds for some reason that the Appeal was not supposed to have been withdrawn in the light of the Circular, it would be open to the Revenue to file an application seeking restoration of the Appeal to be decided on its own merits. Refund of Court-fees as per rules.

(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)

(DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR, J.)

RAJESH
VASANT
CHITTEWAN

Digitally signed by
RAJESH VASANT
CHITTEWAN
Date: 2022.08.05
14:10:49 +0530