

sg

5.wp3223-18.doc

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.3223 OF 2018

Ansari Ahmed Mohammed Yusuf .. Petitioner

v/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

....

Mr. A.A. Maniyar, for the Petitioner.

Ms. Uma Palsuledesai, AGP, for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

Mr. Arvind Kothari, for Respondent Nos. 5 and 6.

....

CORAM: SUNIL B. SHUKRE &
G.A. SANAP, JJ.

DATE : 8 APRIL 2022

P.C:-

Heard.

2. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by consent.

3. An alternate prayer made in the petition is of issuance of direction to Respondent No.3, Deputy Director to decide the representation of the Petitioner within a stipulated period of time. We

are of the view that purposes of this petition can be served, if this alternate prayer is granted.

4. In view of above, petition is partly allowed. Respondent No.3 is directed to decide the representation of the Petitioner made on 2 April 2018, in accordance with law and at the earliest and in any case within eight weeks from the date of appearance of the parties before him. The Petitioner and Respondent Nos. 5 and 6 may appear before Respondent No.3 on 18 April 2022 and shall be at liberty to file on record before Respondent No.3 relevant documents.

5. Rule is made absolute in the above terms. No costs.

(G.A. SANAP, J.)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.)