

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.23 OF 2019
IN
COMMERCIAL SUMMARY SUIT NO.478 OF 2018

VISHAL
SUBHASH
PAREKAR

Mohammed Ataullah Ansari

...Plaintiff

vs.

Hayat Ansari

...Defendant

Digitally signed by
VISHAL SUBHASH
PAREKAR

Date: 2022.03.24
11:02:46 +0530

Mr. Kishore Shriyan, for the Plaintiff

Mr. Anuj Narula a/w Ms. Meena Bhatta i/b. M/s. Jhangiani Narula
and Associates, for the Defendant.

Mr. Mohammed A. Ansari, the Plaintiff is present.

Mr. Hayat Ansari, the Defendant is present.

CORAM : N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATE : MARCH 23, 2022

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned counsels for the parties.
2. The learned counsels made a joint statement that the parties have amicably resolved the dispute and executed the consent terms. The consent terms are tendered.
3. The parties are present before the Court. They admit the contents of the consent terms and execution thereof. The parties are identified by their respective counsels.
4. Mr. Hayat Ansari, the defendant, upon being specifically inquired, submits that he has understood the contents of the consent terms and undertakes to comply with the undertakings therein.

5. It seems that the consent terms are executed voluntarily and there is no coercion or duress. The consent terms are thus taken on record and marked "X" for identification.
6. The suit thus stands decreed in accordance with the consent terms (Exhibit X).
7. The undertaking given in the consent terms are accepted as undertaking given to the Court.
8. Decree be drawn in accordance with the consent terms.
9. Court fee refund, if any, be made as per rules.
10. Summons for Judgment stands disposed of.

(N. J. JAMADAR, J.)