

Talwalkar

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 445 OF 2015

IN

REVIEW PETITION(L) NO. 10 OF 2015

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1517 OF 2006

Mrs. Jayshree Haldankar.

... Applicant.

Versus

Reserve Bank worker Organisation & ...Respondents.
ors.

**Mr. Ramesh Ramamurthy and Sai Kumar
Ramamurthy, Advocate** *for applicant/Review
Petitioner.*

**Ms. Aditi Pathak a/w Mr. Vijay Solankhe, Ms. Kirti
Ojha i/b. BLAC & Co., Advocate** *for Respondent No. 2
Reserve Bank of India.*

CORAM:

M.S. SONAK, J.

DATED :

15th September 2022

Digitally
signed by
ARUNA S
TALWALKAR
Date:
2022.09.16
10:39:52
+0530

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties. There is delay of about 90 days in instituting the Review Petition. The delay is sufficiently explained in an affidavit in support of the motion. Even otherwise, there are no malafides involved and the applicant has no benefit by delaying the institution of Review Petition. Hence, the

delay is condoned. The Notice of Motion No. 445 of 2015 is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

M. S. SONAK, J.