

Urmila Ingale

URMILA
PRAMOD
INGALE

Digitally signed
by URMILA
PRAMOD
INGALE
Date:
2022.06.23
14:33:55
+0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1263 OF 2002

Shri Krishna Brahmaji Narkar and ors. .. Petitioners
vs.
State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 19 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1263 OF 2002**

Chintaman S. Dalvi and anr. .. Applicants

In the matter between

Shri Krishna Brahmaji Narkar and ors. .. Petitioners
vs.
State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 8727 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1263 OF 2002**

Shri Krishna Brahmaji Narkar and ors. .. Applicants

In the matter between

Shri Krishna Brahmaji Narkar and ors. .. Petitioners
vs.
State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 291 OF 2019
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1263 OF 2002**

Shri Krishna Brahmaji Narkar and ors. .. Applicants

In the matter between

Shri Krishna Brahmaji Narkar and ors. .. Petitioners

vs.

State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 24789 OF 2021
IN
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 291 OF 2019
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1263 OF 2002
(Not on Board)**

Lalita Shrikant Haldankar and ors. .. Applicants

In the matter between

Krishna Brahmaji Narkar and ors. .. Petitioners

vs.

State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Atul Damle, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. A.V. Chatuphale, Ms. Sneha Prabhu, Ms. Kinnari Mehta and Ms. Payal, for petitioners in WP/1263/2002 and for applicant in IA(L)/8727/2022 and IA/291/2019.

Mr. Milind More, Addl. Government Pleader a/w Mr. Himanshu B. Takke, AGP for State-respondent nos. 1 to 3 in WP/1263/2002.

Mr. Vaibhav M. Parashurami for respondent nos. 4, 5 and 6.

Ms. Rupali Adhate for respondent no. 7 – MCGM.

Mr. Dhananjay B. Deshmukh for respondent no. 8.

Mr. Nigel Quraishy for respondent nos. 9 to 16.

Mr. Abhijeet A. Joshi a/w Ms. Varsha Sawant, Mr. Namit V. Loya and Mr. Swapnil Jadhav for applicant in IA/19/2022 and for respondent nos. 17, 18 and 19 in WP/1263/2002.

Mr. Viral Thaker a/w Mr. Varun Agarwal i/b. LJ Law for applicants in IAL/24789/2021 in IA/291/2019 in

WP/1263/2002 (Not on Board).

**WITH
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 13104 OF 2022
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 16244 OF 2022**

Juni Chikhalwadi Samantar Rahivasi
Sahakari Griha Nirman Sanstha (Proposed).. Petitioner
vs.

The State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Atul Damle, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. A.V. Chatuphale,
Ms. Sneha Prabhu, Ms. Kinnari Mehta and Ms. Payal for
petitioners in WPL/13104/2022 and for applicant in
IAL/16244/2022.

Mr. Milind More, Additional Government Pleader for State-
respondent nos. 1 to 3.

Mr. Vaibhav M. Parashurami for respondent nos. 4 and 5.

Mr. Nigel Quraishy for respondent no. 6.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 17704 OF 2022**

Sanjay Vishwas Rajwadkar and anr. .. Petitioners
vs.

State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Ramesh Ramamurthy a/w Mr. Saikumar Ramamurthy
and Ms. Priya Borgaonkar for petitioners.

Mr. Milind More, Additional Government Pleader for State-
respondent nos. 1 to 3.

Mr. Vaibhav M. Parashurami for respondent nos. 4, 5 and 6.

Mr. Nigel Quraishy for respondent no. 7.

Ms. Rupali Adhate for respondent no. 10-MCGM.

**CORAM : DIPANKAR DATTA, CJ &
M. S. KARNIK, J.**

DATE : JUNE 21, 2022

P.C. :

1. These petitions were heard on the previous occasion for some time. During the course of hearing, it transpired that having regard to the controversy involved, there is every possibility of an amicable resolution to the dispute. It is informed that the parties have arrived at a consensus. Accordingly, consent minutes of the order dated June 21, 2022 duly signed by the advocates for the respective parties are tendered today which are taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

2. We have gone through the consent minutes of the order. The advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties submitted on instructions that they have no objection for the petitions being disposed of in terms of the consent minutes of the order. We have accordingly perused the consent minutes of the order and are satisfied that the petitions can be disposed of in terms of the consent minutes of the order.

3. The consent minutes of the order are duly signed by the advocate on record appearing on behalf of the petitioners in Writ Petition (L) No. 17704 of 2022 as well as the advocates appearing for respondents no. 4, 5, 6 and 7. The advocate for the petitioners in Writ Petition No. 1263 of

2002 and advocates for the respondents no. 4 and 5 and on behalf of respondents no. 8 to 16 have duly signed the consent minutes of order. The consent minutes of order are also signed on behalf of the advocate for the petitioners in Writ Petition (L) No. 13104 of 2022 and advocates for respondent no. 4, 5 and 6. Learned advocates who are the signatories to the consent minutes of the order state on instructions that the petitioners and the concerned respondents who they represent will duly abide by the consent minutes of the order. Statement is accepted.

4. Learned AGP appearing on behalf of the State of Maharashtra as well as counsel representing the parties who are not signatories of these consent minutes of order submit that the petitions concern the signatories of the consent minutes of the order, therefore, have no objection for the petitions to be disposed of in terms of the consent minutes of order. The consent minutes of order will bind the parties on whose behalf the same is duly signed.

5. The writ petitions are disposed of in terms contained in the consent minutes of order dated June 21, 2022. No costs. All pending interim applications also stand disposed of.

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)