

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.328 OF 2009

Larsen & Turbo Infotech Limited, Mumbai ...Petitioner
vs.

The Additional Commissioner of Income Tax
(Transfer Pricing), Hyderabad and Another ...Respondents

Shri. J.D. Mistri, Senior Advocate i/b. Mr. Atul Jasani, for the
Petitioner

Shri. Suresh Kumar, for the Respondents.

CORAM : **K.R. SHRIRAM &
N. J. JAMADAR, JJ.**

DATE : **FEBRUARY 03, 2022**

P.C.:

. Shri Suresh Kumar on instructions states that since the
assessment in Hyderabad is completed, Court may grant prayer
clause (a).

2. Accordingly, prayer clause (a) which reads as under, is
granted.

(a) This Court may be pleased to issue a writ of
certiorari or a writ in the nature of certiorari or any
other appropriate writ, order or direction under Article
226 of the Constitution of India calling for the records of
the Petitioner's case and after examining the legality
and validity thereof quash and set aside the notice dated
23rd December, 2008 and 21st January, 2009 being
Exhibit A and C hereto, issued by Respondent No. 1
purportedly under section 133(6) of the Act and also
the notice and summons both dated 2nd March, 2009

being Exhibit F and G issued by Respondent No. 3 purportedly under section 131 of the Act calling for certain information and also set aside the notice dated 4th February, 2009 being Exhibit E hereto, issued under section 272A of the Act for imposition of penalty under that section for alleged non-compliance of notices issued under section 133(6) of the Act.

3. Petition disposed.

(N. J. JAMADAR, J.)

(K. R. SHRIRAM, J.)