

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 5727 OF 2018

Prasad Dayaram Patil And Ors. ...Petitioners
Versus
Priti Prasad Patil And Anr. ...Respondents

....

Mr. Avinash Fatangare i/by Mr. Prashant Jadhav, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Lokesh D. Zade, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Mr Prasad D. Patil, Petitioner No.1 and Ms. Priti Prasad Patil, respondent No.1, Present in the Court.

Mr. A. R. Patil, APP for the Respondent – State.

....

CORAM : PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.
DATE : 20th SEPTEMBER, 2022.

PER COURT:

1. Learned Advocate representing both the sides jointly submitted that the parties have arrived at amicable settlement. They have executed consent terms. The petitioner No.1 and respondent No.1 are present in the Court. It is stated that the parties have executed consent terms. Contents of the consent terms are confirmed by the parties present in the Court.

2. As per the consent terms, petitioner No.1 has agreed to pay remaining amount of Rs.15,00,000/- to the respondent No.1 through Demand Draft of Rs.15,00,000/-. The Demand Draft

bearing No.209096 dated 23.06.2022 drawn at the State Bank of India, handed over to the respondent No.1.

3. As per the other terms of the consent terms, both the sides have agreed to withdraw the proceedings pending against them. The consent terms are taken on record. The consent terms however mentioned that the parties had agreed to go for divorce by mutual consent. The husband has filed appeal before the District Court bearing Appeal No.120 of 2019. The parties have agreed that the said appeal would be allowed with the consent of both the sides.

4. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)