

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.15578 OF 2022

Nanabhau s/o. Govind Nikumbh ... Petitioner

vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ... Respondents

Mr.Chaitanya V. Dharurkar Adhe, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr.B.V. Samant, AGP for the Respondent – State.

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA, ACJ. &
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.**

DATED : 20 DECEMBER, 2022

P.C. :-

1 Heard Mr.Dharurkar, learned counsel for the
Petitioner.

2 Upon being confronted with the nature of
Application and the documents sought, the learned advocate
for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner would not press
the present Petition and instead the Petitioner would submit
the documents to the Committee. The committee is directed to
consider the said documents.

3 We have heard the learned AGP.

4 It is for the Petitioner to produce the documents on
which the Petitioner relies. The Committee certainly may
comment upon the documents produced by the Petitioner on
its own merits and in accordance with law.

5 With these observations, the Writ Petition is
disposed of.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

(ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE.)