

rajshree

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.3003 OF 2022

Satyam Venkat Gudala	]	..	Applicant
vs.			
State of Maharashtra	]	..	Respondent

Vinaya Patil, for the Applicant.

Veera Shinde, APP for the State.

PN Khandekar, Vitthalwadi Police Station present.

CORAM : BHARATI DANGRE, J

DATE : 21st OCTOBER, 2022.

P.C.

1] The learned counsel for the Applicant do not dispute that he was served with notice under Section 41A of the Cr.P.C. He, however, states that, he approached the Sessions Court, which has rejected his application.

2] Considering the fact that offences invoked are under Section 420, 448, 451 read with 34 of the IPC, it is appropriate that the Investigating Officer shall report to the Investigating Officer within a period of 7 days from today.

Upon his presence, the Investigating Officer shall follow procedure prescribed under Section 41A of the Cr.P.C. and record reasons in case if he comes at a conclusion that custodial interrogation of the Applicant

is very much necessary for completing the investigation. He shall also issue 72 hours notice in advance in case if he is desirous of effecting arrest.

3] With the above directions, Applications are disposed off.

[BHARATI DANGRE, J]