

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 1009 OF 2022
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.19308 OF 022
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 1009 OF 2022**

Neesha Jindall

.. Appellant

vs.

Municipal Corporation of Greater
Mumbai thr. G/N Ward, G/North Ward

.. Respondent

Mr. Krishna K. Holambe Patil for the appellant.
Mr. Om Suryawanshi for respondent-MCGM.

CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.

DATED : 25th NOVEMBER, 2022

P.C.:

1. On instructions, a motion is made by the learned counsel for the appellant for withdrawal of the Appeal from Order.
2. The Appeal from Order stands dismissed as withdrawn on following conditions:
3. In case, if the appellant comes out with prayer for supply of copy of sanction plan of the suit structure under the provisions of Right to Information Act, the Corporation-Executive Engineer (B&P) is duty bound to and shall supply the copy of the sanction plan of the suit building within 30 days from the date of the

application as provided under the provisions of RTI Act.

4. The appellant is also at liberty to move for regularization, if so desired and advisable which prayer may be considered by the Corporation, in accordance with law.

5. Only for a period of four weeks from today there shall be a status quo as of today.

6. Pending application also stands disposed of.

ANANT
KRISHNA
NAIK

Digitally signed
by ANANT
KRISHNA NAIK
Date: 2022.11.29
12:52:30 +0530

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)