

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.2887 OF 2022**

Shabana Ismail Shaikh .. Applicant  
**Versus**  
The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

...

Ms.Lakshmi Raman for the Applicant.  
Ms.Rutuja Ambekar, A.P.P. for the State/Respondent.  
Mr.Saurabh Butala for the complainant.  
PSI C.K.Sapkale, attached to Kasarvadavali Police Station,  
present.

...

**CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE, J.  
DATED : 17<sup>th</sup> OCTOBER, 2022**

**P.C:-**

1. Learned A.P.P. states that the Investigating Officer is likely to issue notice under Section 41A of Cr.P.C. to the applicant. A specific statement is, the notice could not be issued earlier, since the applicant was not found at the address.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant makes a categorical statement that she has correctly mentioned the address of the applicant in title clause of the application.

The Investigating Officer is, therefore, expected to serve the notice on the said address within seven days from today.

Upon his appearance, the Investigating Officer shall follow the mandate set out under Section 41A of Cr.P.C. and shall record reasons in writing, in case, he comes to the conclusion that the arrest is necessary. Before effecting the arrest for the purpose of custodial interrogation, he shall serve 72 hours notice to the applicant.

3. With the aforesaid direction, the application stands disposed off.

( SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.)