

RAJU
DATTATRAYA
GAIKWAD

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Prakram Singh Bhati	...	Petitioner
Versus		
Narsee Monjee Institute of Management Studies	...	Respondent

Mr. Ashish Kamat a/w. Mr. Gaurav Srivastava a/w. Mr. A. P. Singh a/w. Adv. Kunjan Makrand i/b. S. K. Srivastav & Co., Advocate for the Respondent.

DATED : OCTOBER 17, 2022

1. The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that after the petition was filed, the admission of the petitioner has been restored.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has lost attendance of one month because of the cancellation of the admission, so also certain internal examination have been held. The respondents be directed to condone the attendance of the petitioner and also hold the internal examination.

4. The petitioner may apply to the respondent for the said purpose. The respondent may consider the application of the petitioner on its own merits.
5. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits, on instructions, that the petitioner would not press the adverse allegations made against the respondents.
6. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

(R. N. LADDHA, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)