

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8611 OF 2022

Mrs. Vaishali Laxman Chaudhari & anr. ...Petitioner
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra through
the Secretary, School Edu. Dept. & Anr.. ...Respondents.

Mr. N.V. Bandiwadekar i/b. Mrs. Ashwini Bandiwadekar, advocate for
the Petitioner.

Mrs. P.J. Gavhane, AGP for State.

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
MADHAV J. JAMDAR, JJ.**

DATED : JULY 25, 2022

Digitally
signed by
ARUNA S
TALWALKAR
Date:
2022.07.27
15:15:38
+0530

P.C. :

1. It is submitted that initially the proposal submitted for seeking approval for confirmation of petitioner was not considered as certain deficiencies were observed (page 24). It is submitted that thereafter the management in the year 2015 submitted fresh proposal. Thereafter, reminders were also given, but decision is not taken. Learned AGP appears for both the respondents. In case, the earlier proposal of the Petitioner was not rejected on merits and subsequently fresh proposal is given correcting the deficiencies (page 25 and 27) and if the same is pending, then the Education Officer shall take decision in accordance

with law and policies preferably within 6 months from today. Writ

Petition is disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

(MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA,J)