

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 15625 OF 2022

Narayan s/o Pundalik Parchandrao ... **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... **Respondents**

Mr. Ratan Adhe, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mrs. Nisha Mehra, AGP for the Respondents/State.

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA, ACTING CJ &
S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.**

DATED : DECEMBER 21, 2022

P.C.

1. Heard Mr. Adhe, learned counsel for the Petitioner.
2. Upon being confronted with the nature of application and the documents sought, the learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would not press the present petition and instead the petitioner would submit the documents to the Committee. The committee is directed to consider the said documents.
3. We have heard the learned AGP.
4. It is for the petitioner to produce the documents on which the petitioner relies. The Committee certainly may comment upon the documents produced by the petitioner on its own merits and in accordance with law.
5. With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

(S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.) (ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)