

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

8. CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 636 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 178 OF 2022
AND

9. CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 637 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 8837 OF 2021
AND

10. CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 638 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 7626 OF 2021
AND

11. CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 639 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 8838 OF 2021
AND

12. CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 640 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 175 OF 2022
AND

13. CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 641 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 176 OF 2022
AND

14. CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 642 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 177 OF 2022
AND

15. CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 643 OF 2022
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 179 OF 2022

Digitally
signed by
SANJAY
ASARAM
MANDAWGAD
Date:
2022.10.17
12:33:07
+0530

Waterfront Housing and Hospitality Pvt. Ltd. ... Petitioner
Versus
Gangatharan D. Collector and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Sandeep D. Shinde i/by Ergo Juris for the Petitioners in all Contempt Petitions.

Ms. M.S. Bane, AGP for the Respondent-State in CP/636/2022, CP/640/2022 and CP/641/2022.

Mr. R.S. Pawar, AGP for the Respondent-State in CP/637/2022

Ms. R.M. Shinde, AGP for the Respondent-State in CP/638/2022, CP/642/2022 and CP/643/2022.

Ms. K.N. Solunke, AGP for the Respondent-State in CP/639/2022.

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, JJ.**

DATE : 11 OCTOBER 2022

P.C. :

Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner is alleging contempt of the common order passed by the Division Bench of this Court on 7 April 2022. The Division Bench, after laying down the proposition as to which is the relevant date for calculation of valuation of *Najarana*, disposed of the petitions. Since no action is taken, contempt petitions are filed praying that action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be taken against the Respondents. The order was passed by the Division Bench on 7 April 2022, the contempt

petitions were filed on 15 September 2022 i.e. within around five months. We do not find that there is any breach of any specific time limit resulting into contempt, since the order passed by this Court has to be complied within a reasonable time. There is no challenge to the said order in which the interim order is passed. Therefore, we are not inclined to proceed further in contempt jurisdiction for compliance. We observe that the order passed by the Division Bench dated 7 April 2022, if not set aside or modified to be implemented within six months from today.

3. The Contempt Petitions are accordingly disposed of.

(SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)