

SANTOSH
SUBHASH
KULKARNI

Digitally signed by
SANTOSH
SUBHASH
KULKARNI
Date: 2022.07.02
12:23:20 +0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2742 OF 2021

Laili Machchindra Gudadavat ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr. Ranjeet Pawar, for the Applicant.
Mrs. J. S. Lohokare, APP for the State

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.
DATED : 28th JUNE, 2022

ORDER:-

1. This is an application for pre-arrest bail in connection with CR No.732 of 2021, registered with Yawat Police Station, for the offences punishable under Sections 328 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 ("the Penal Code") and Sections 65, 65(c), 65(f) of the Maharashtra Prohibition Act, 1949.

2. Rajeev Shinde, attached to Yavat Police Station lodged a report on 28th August, 2021 that, pursuant to an intimation a raid was conducted at Shelarwadi, Khamgaon. The police party noticed that besides a rivulet persons were distilling illicit liquor. Noticing the police party, the applicant started to flee away. Despite chase, the applicant could not be apprehended. The incriminating articles were seized. Samples of illicit liquor were

collected. Since the illicit liquor which was being distilled, on consumption, posed threat to human life, the crime was registered for the offence punishable under Section 328 of Penal Code, apart from the offences punishable under Sections 65, 65(c), 65(f) of the Maharashtra Prohibition Act, 1949.

3. By an order dated 18th November, 2021, this Court was persuaded to grant interim pre-arrest bail to the applicant. This Court, having regard to the nature of the accusation, recorded a *prima facie* view that, the applicability of Section 328 of Penal Code appears to be doubtful.

4. I have heard Mr. Pawar, the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the state. With the assistance of the learned counsels, I have perused the material on record.

5. The applicant is sought to be arraigned with a case that, when the raid was conducted, the applicant allegedly fled away from the spot. This implies that the applicant was not apprehended on the spot at the time of the alleged raid.

6. The submission on behalf of the applicant that, the question as to whether the applicant is in fact found in possession of the illicit liquor and distilled illicit liquor is debatable, appears to carry some substance. The applicability of

Section 328 of the Penal Code, in the attendant facts of the case, also appears to be debatable.

7. As the raiding party claimed to have seized the incriminating articles and collected samples of illicit liquor, further custodial interrogation of the applicant does not seem warranted. As the police party conducted the raid, there is no possibility of tempering with evidence.

8. I am, therefore, persuaded to make the order of interim pre-arrest bail absolute.

9. Hence the following Order:

: ORDER :

(i) The application stands allowed.

(ii) The interim order of pre-arrest bail dated 18th November, 2021, is made absolute on the terms and conditions incorporated therein.

(iii) In addition, the applicant shall regularly attend the proceedings before the jurisdictional Court.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]