

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.2416 OF 2022**

Shahin Imran Qureshi & Ors. .. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.2898 OF 2022
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.2416 OF 2022**

Rajesh Raichand Jain .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

...

Mr.Aniket Vagal for the Applicants.

Ms.Veera Shinde, A.P.P. for the State/Respondent.

Mr.Mukesh Panday for the Intervenor.

...

**CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE, J.
DATED : 07th SEPTEMBER, 2022**

P.C:-

1. It is informed that the applicants were served with the notice under Section 41A of Cr.P.C. and, accordingly, he has co-operated.

When the Investigating Officer is asked about his inference, on the basis of the interrogation of their involvement in the crime and need for their custodial interrogation, learned A.P.P. states that no such reasons are recorded.

The Investigating Officer is expected to abide by the mandate of Section 41A of Cr.P.C.. In case, if he is desirous of effecting the arrest, he shall record his reasons in writing and serve an advance notice of 72 hours on the applicants in such contingency.

2. With the aforesaid direction, the application stands disposed off alongwith the interim application.

(SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.)