



Officer had retired and a letter was given that a fresh departmental inquiry is initiated. That was a mistake committed by the University. Now under the impugned order, same inquiry is continued as earlier inquiry officer had retired and a new inquiry officer is appointed.

4. We have perused the impugned order. It appears that because the inquiry officer had resigned, the respondents had issued a letter stating that a fresh inquiry would commence. The same certainly was not permissible.

5. However, subsequently, impugned letter is issued to the effect that departmental inquiry would proceed further on the basis of the charge-sheet which was already given and from the stage inquiry had stopped.

6. Challenge to the said inquiry is already rejected by this Court in its order dated 22/07/2021 in Writ Petition No. 3072 of 2021 filed by the Petitioner.

7. In view of that, it will not be open for us to go into the legality of the contentions of the Petitioner in the present Writ Petition. However, it would be open for the Petitioner to raise all the grounds available to the Petitioner so also raised in this Petition, before the Inquiry Officer and Disciplinary Authority as may be permissible in the law.

8. The Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

YUGANDHARA  
SHARAD  
PATIL

Digitally signed  
by YUGANDHARA  
SHARAD PATIL  
Date: 2022.10.14  
11:47:51 +0530

(R.N.LADDHA, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)