

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 7105 OF 2021

Sahyog Sevabhavi Sanstha & Anr. .. Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Sugandh B. Deshmukh, Advcoate for the Petitioners.

Mrs. P. N. Diwan, AGP for the Respondent-State.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR , JJ.**

DATE : 17th JUNE, 2022.

P.C:

. The learned AGP for the State raised an objection that the Petitioner-Institute is situated at Nanded, the matter ought not to be filed before this Court. According to the learned Counsel for the Petitioners, the authority is situated at Nasik.

2. In fact the appropriate Court where the present writ petition ought to have been filed, is the Aurangabad Bench. The affidavit-in-reply is filed by the Respondents-State. As per the said affidavit, the further inspection has to be conducted. The decision is taken to reimburse 10% of the amount immediately in favour of the school society. The affidavit-in-reply further

states that the decision has been taken to constitute the committee. The amount has been sanctioned by the State Government for reimbursement of fees to the students, who are given admission in a nominated school.

3. The Committee is required to conduct the inquiry of various schools and the report is required to be submitted to the concerned department of the State Government.

4. The matter would not be in respect of the Petitioner – Institution individually, but all the similarly situated institutions throughout the State. The Respondent-State may proceed with the process as is detailed in their affidavit expeditiously.

5. It is further submitted that Government Resolution dated 29th March 2022 is also issued, wherein the decision is taken to disburse 25% amount.

6. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

(DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR, J.)

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)