

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1246 OF 2022

Mrs.Manjiri Narayan Bhoyarekar

...Petitioner

vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr.N.V. Bandiwadekar with Vinayak Kumbhar and Ajinkya Navale i/b.

Ms.A.N. Bandiwadekar for Petitioner.

Mr.PN. Diwan, AGP for Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 5.

**CORAM : SUNIL B. SHUKRE &
G.A. SANAP JJ.**

DATED : 9 MARCH 2022

P.C. :

. Heard learned Counsel for the Petitioner and learned AGP for Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 5.

2. Considering the limited nature of relief sought alternatively by the Petitioner, we do not think that any notice is necessary for Respondent Nos.3 and 4.

3. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally, by consent.

4. Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 5 are directed to decide proposal dated 18 July 2016 and proposal dated 17 March 2018 submitted by Respondent No.4 to Respondent No.2 seeking relaxation of the Petitioner's overage at the time of her initial appointment in Respondent No.4 college,

in accordance with law, at the earliest and in any case, within eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

5. Rule is made absolute in above terms.

(G.A. SANAP, J.)

(SUNIL B. SHUKRE, J.)