

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 283 OF 2021

Devram Mahadu Khandvi ... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 300 OF 2021**

Dhanraj Shivaji Vani ... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 290 OF 2021**

Pradeep Ramchandra Shinde ... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 284 OF 2021**

Dhananjay Devrao Aher ... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 287 OF 2021**

Chandrakant Raghunath Lahange ... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 288 OF 2021**

Valmik Gangaram Sonwane ... Petitioner
Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 306 OF 2021**

Subhash Dodha Ahire ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 305 OF 2021**

Deepak Uttam Thorat ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 289 OF 2021**

Nitin Dattatray Pawar ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 307 OF 2021**

Sahebrao Baliram Ahire ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

**WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 285 OF 2021**

Ashok Dharma Thakare ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

* * * * *

Mr. Pradeep S. Gole for the Petitioners in all Contempt Petitions.
Ms. Sushma S. Bhende, AGP for the State-Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in
all Contempt Petitions.
Mr. Prashant Dahat for the Respondent nos. 4 and 5

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
S. M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATED : JUNE 24, 2022

P.C.

1. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. The learned counsel submits that order of this court granting the benefit of District Awardee Teacher has not been implemented.

2. The learned counsel for respondent no.4 and 5 submits that the respondents had filed SLP before the Apex Court. The Apex Court on 13.04.2022 has dismissed the SLP. Respondent nos.4 and 5 are now proceeding to implement the orders of this court. Some time would be required.

3. Considering the aforesaid, we grant four months time to respondent nos.4 and 5 from today to implement the orders of this court of which the contempt is alleged. If within four months from today, the order of which contempt is alleged, is not implemented, respondents will make themselves liable for contempt.

4. Contempt Petitions are disposed of.

(S. M. MODAK,J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)