

Vidya Amin

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2138 of 2022
WITH
INTERVENTION APPLICATION (ST.) NO. 16973 OF 2022**

Aditya Anil Rane .. Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Ms. Tanvi Mahadik h/f. Mr. Rahul Arote for the applicant.
Ms. Roohita Shaikh for the Intervener.
Ms. Rutuja Ambekar, APP for the State.
API Ms. Pradnya Munde, Turbhe Police Station present.

**CORAM : BHARATI DANGRE, J.
DATE : OCTOBER 04, 2022.**

P.C.:

1. On 5th August, 2022, considering the nature of accusations levelled against the applicant and by particularly recording that the complainant is aged 30 years and is capable of knowing the consequence of her act, he was admitted to interim protection. The protection continued till date.

2. Learned APP, on instructions of the Investigating Officer Ms. Pradnya Munde, who is present in the Court, states that the custodial interrogation of the applicant is not necessary. She, however, makes a specific statement that Section 377 has been added in the said CR and the complainant was repeatedly asked to report to the police station so that she can be sent to medical examination. But there was no response.

In any case, if she has to establish the charge under section 377, necessary material must be collected by the prosecution.

3. The order dated 5th August, 2022 is made absolute.
4. Anticipatory Bail Application is disposed of.
5. Intervention Application is also disposed of.

(SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.)