

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 3768 OF 2021**

Amol Rajaram Gunjal and ors.Petitioners
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and anr.Respondents

Ms. Krupali H. Rajani, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. J. P. Yagnik, APP for the State.
Ms. Shivani Jadhav, advocate for respondent No.2

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE &
SURENDRA P. TAVADE, JJ.**

DATE : 18th FEBRUARY, 2022.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel and learned APP appearing for the respective parties.
2. For the reasons recorded separately, writ petition is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) and is disposed of as such.
3. At the outset, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submitted that though she appears for respondent No.2, vakalatnama could not be filed in this Court and, as such, she makes a statement that she will file the vakalatnama in this Court within two weeks from today. The statement is accepted.

(SURENDRA P. TAVADE, J.)

(PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.)

SHUBHADA
SHANKAR
KADAM
Digitally signed
by SHUBHADA
SHANKAR
KADAM
Date:
2022.02.23
11:20:25
+0530