

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.9851 OF 2022

Ghetan Madhukar Gaikwad ...Petitioner.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Others. ..Respondents.

Mr. Aditya S. Raktade for the Petitioner.
Mr. V.M. Mali, AGP for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2-State.
Mr. Shrikrishna Ganbavale, Push Lahankar for Respondent Nos.3 and 4.

CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE &
SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, JJ.

Date : December 22, 2022.

P. C. :

1. Subject to payment of cost of Rs.1,000/- to Kirtikar Law Library, to be paid within a week from today, the writ petition is restored to the file as against Respondent No.5.
2. The petition can be conveniently disposed of with the following order.
3. Admittedly, the Respondent-Institute has not constituted the grievance committee as was directed by the AICTE *vide* notification dated 22nd March, 2021. The counsel for the Respondent-Institute submits that after constitution of the grievance committee, the grievance of the Petitioner, as reflected in the petition, shall be decided as expeditiously as possible, and in any

Patil_sr

SACHIN
RAMCHANDRA
PATIL

Digitally signed
by SACHIN
RAMCHANDRA
PATIL
Date:
2022.12.23
10:50:22 +0530

case within one month from the date of constitution of the grievance committee. He further assures that all steps shall be taken for the constitution of the grievance committee expeditiously.

4. As such, we direct that the present petition of the Petitioner be treated as grievance by the grievance committee and the same be decided as has been indicated herein-above by the Respondent-Committee.

5. Till the decision on the grievance of the Petitioner is taken by the Respondent-Committee and the same is communicated to the Petitioner, we direct the impugned order dated 12th January, 2022 shall remain stayed.

6. The petition stands disposed of.

[Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.]

[Nitin W. Sambre, J.]