

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 474 OF 2019

Satishchandra Purshottam Kale

....Petitioner

V/s.

Anjusha Satishchandra Kale and anr.

....Respondents

Mrs. Manjula Rao and Mr. Hitesh Vyas, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anjusha S. Kale, respondent no.1 in person.

Mrs. Vaishali S. Nimbalkar, AGP for the State.

CORAM : SANDEEP K. SHINDE, J.

FRIDAY, 21ST OCTOBER, 2022.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and respondent no.1 in person.
2. Petitioner could not comply with Clause-iv(c) of the consent order, for want of Pancard of Master, Shalin, in whose name petitioner has agreed to invest certain amount in

the fixed-deposits. Respondent in person, informed that, Master Shalin does not hold Pancard; however, once Pancard is issued, she shall approach the Bank and ensure that amount is invested in the fixed-deposits as per consent order. Respondent no.1 in person also assures that agreed amount shall be held in the fixed-deposit, until Master Shalin attains majority. Statement of respondent is accepted.

3. To that extent, consent order stands modified. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

NEETA
SHAILESH
SAWANT

(SANDEEP K. SHINDE, J.)

Digitally signed by
NEETA
SHAILESH
SAWANT

Date: 2022.10.28
16:42:56 +0530