

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1146 of 2020
(modified as per order dated 7/9/2022)

Seema Milind Nikam .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.204 OF 2021
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1146 OF 2020

The Municipal Co-operative Bank Ltd, .. Applicant
Mumbai

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

WITH
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.825 OF 2020

Mangesh Bagwan Katkhade .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr .. Respondent

...

Mr.S.S. Patwardhan i/b B.R. Mandlik for the applicant.

Mr.Mohan Rao for the intervenor.

Mrs.A.A. Takalkar, APP for the State.

API Shri Pravin Patil with PSI Tushar Kale from Mulund police station present.

CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE, J.
DATED : 15th JUNE, 2022

P.C:-

1 On instructions from the Investigating Officer who is present in the Court, the learned APP Mrs.Takalkar, state that the custodial interrogation of the applicants is not warranted. She also confirm the statement that the main accused is the husband of the applicant and he is already released on bail.

2 Though the counsel for the informant/complainant vehemently oppose the confirmation of the order, the objection is noted just to remind him that the investigation is the sole prerogative of the Investigating Officer, who is specifically making statement that he do not require the custody of the applicant, the objection is just recorded to be rejected.

3 The orders dated 7/1/2021 in ABA 1146/2020 and order dated 8/12/2020 in ABA No. 825/2020 are made absolute, needless to state that the applicants shall continue to render co-operation to the Investigating Officer and report to him as and when called for”.

Applications are disposed off.

(SMT. BHARATI DANGRE, J.)